

Extraordinary Report

(June 26, 2014)

(TRANSLATION)

Nippon Steel & Sumitomo Metal Corporation. **Tokyo, Japan**

Editor's notes:

1. Please note that the official text of this document has been prepared in Japanese. The information herein stated is provided only for reference purposes. The company is not responsible for the accuracy of the information. To the extent there is any discrepancy between the English translation and original Japanese version, please refer to the Japanese version.
2. On June 26, 2014, the company filed its Extraordinary Report (Rinji Houkokusho) (the "Report") with the Director-General of the Kanto Financial Bureau in Japan in connection with the Company's shareholders' voting results for the proposals voted upon at the 90th Annual Meeting of Shareholders .

1. Reason for submitting the Extraordinary Report

As the matters to be voted upon were resolved at the 90th Annual Meeting of Shareholders dated June 25, 2014, we hereby submit this Extraordinary Report in accordance with the Article 24-5, Paragraph 4 of the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act and Article 19, Paragraph 2, Item 9-2 of the Cabinet Office Ordinance on Disclosure of Corporate Affairs.

2. Contents

(1) Date of the Annual Meeting of Shareholders(“the Meeting”)

June 25, 2014

(2) Contents of Matters to be Voted upon

Proposal No.1: Approval of the appropriation of surplus for the 89th term (from April 1, 2013 to March 31, 2014)

Proposal No.2: Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation

Proposal No.3: Election of Fourteen (14) Directors

To elect the following persons as Directors:

Shoji Muneoka, Hiroshi Tomono, Kosei Shindo, Shinya Higuchi, Katsuhiko Ota, Akihiro Miyasaka, Kinya Yanagawa, Soichiro Sakuma, Yasumitsu Saeki, Shinji Morinobu, Shinji Fujino, Ritsuya Iwai, Mutsutake Otsuka and Ichiro Fujisaki

Proposal No.3: Election of Two (2) Audit & Supervisory Board Members

To elect the following persons as Audit & Supervisory Board Members:

Hiroshi Obayashi and Jiro Makino

(3) The number of voting rights concerning the indication of “for”, “against” or “abstain” as to matters to be voted upon, requirements for the approval and results.

Matters to be voted upon	For	Against	Abstention	Results (Ratio of affirmative votes)
Proposal No.1:	6,601,178	71,849	6,488	Approved (97.34%)
Proposal No.2:	6,661,571	11,568	6,488	Approved (98.23%)
Proposal No.3:				
Shoji Muneoka	5,390,353	1,266,081	23,159	Approved (79.48%)
Hiroshi Tomono	5,989,418	662,203	27,971	Approved (88.32%)
Kosei Shindo	5,472,205	1,184,229	23,159	Approved (80.69%)
Shinya Higuchi	6,339,634	311,991	27,971	Approved (93.48%)
Katsuhiko Ota	6,339,620	312,005	27,971	Approved (93.48%)
Akihiro Miyasaka	6,340,592	311,033	27,971	Approved (93.50%)
Kinya Yanagawa	6,340,670	310,955	27,971	Approved (93.50%)
Soichiro Sakuma	6,340,592	311,033	27,971	Approved (93.50%)
Yasumitsu Saeki	6,295,126	356,501	27,971	Approved (92.83%)
Shinji Morinobu	6,295,045	356,582	27,971	Approved (92.83%)
Shinji Fujino	6,524,191	127,437	27,971	Approved (96.21%)
Ritsuya Iwai	6,523,344	128,284	27,971	Approved (96.19%)
Mutsutake Otsuka	5,984,597	675,151	19,849	Approved (88.25%)
Ichiro Fujisaki	6,596,739	76,354	6,512	Approved (97.27%)
Proposal No.4:				
Hiroshi Obayashi	6,656,418	16,548	6,488	Approved (98.16%)
Jiro Makino	6,660,326	12,640	6,488	Approved (98.21%)

Note 1 The number of voting rights concerning the indication of “for”, “against” or “abstain” is calculated by adding the number of the voting rights exercised by mail or via the internet prior to the Meeting (“votes exercised prior to the Meeting”) to the number of the voting rights which were exercised by shareholders who attended the Meeting and whose indication “for,” “against” or “abstain” of the respective proposals could be confirmed (i.e., they must be exercised by a proxy of shareholder who has submitted the power of attorney or confirmed by a notice of acting representative submitted from an institutional shareholder).

Note 2 Requirements for the approval

The approval of the proposal No.1 requires a majority of the voting rights held by the shareholders present (including the votes exercised prior to the Meeting) voting “for” the proposal.

The approval of the proposal No.2 requires (i) attendance of shareholders holding in aggregate one-third (1/3) or more of the total number of voting rights and (ii) two-third (2/3) or more of the voting rights held by the shareholders present voting “for” the proposal.

The approval of the proposal No.3 & No.4 requires (i) attendance of shareholders holding in aggregate one-third (1/3) or more of the total number of voting rights and (ii) a majority of the voting rights held by the shareholders present voting “for” the proposal.

Note 3 The ratio of affirmative votes was calculated by aggregating the number of votes exercised prior to the Meeting and the number of voting rights held by all shareholders present at the Meeting as the denominator. In addition, the number of the voting rights concerning the indication of “for”, “against” or “abstain” as to matters to be voted upon is different by proposals due to the different number of the invalid ballot etc.

(4) Reason for not adding a portion of the voting rights held by the shareholders present at the Meeting to the number of voting rights concerning the above (3)

The requirements for the approval of the proposals proved to be met as a result of aggregating the number of votes exercised prior to the Meeting, as well as the results of the exercise of voting rights of shareholders who attended the meeting and whose indication of “for”, “against” or “abstain” were confirmed at the time of voting. Therefore, the results of the exercise of voting rights except those indicated in the above Note 1 are not added to the number of the voting rights concerning the above (3).