

Extraordinary Report

(June 26, 2013)

(TRANSLATION)

Nippon Steel & Sumitomo Metal Corporation. Tokyo, Japan

Editor's notes:

1. Please note that the official text of this document has been prepared in Japanese. The information herein stated is provided only for reference purposes. The company is not responsible for the accuracy of the information. To the extent there is any discrepancy between the English translation and original Japanese version, please refer to the Japanese version.
2. On June 26, 2013, the company filed its Extraordinary Report (Rinji Houkokusho) (the "Report") with the Director-General of the Kanto Financial Bureau in Japan in connection with the Company's shareholders' voting results for the proposals voted upon at the 89th Annual Meeting of Shareholders .

1. Reason for submitting the Extraordinary Report

As the matters to be voted upon were resolved at the 89th Annual Meeting of Shareholders dated June 25, 2013, we hereby submit this Extraordinary Report in accordance with the Article 24-5, Paragraph 4 of the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act and Article 19, Paragraph 2, Item 9-2 of the Cabinet Office Ordinance on Disclosure of Corporate Affairs.

2. Contents

(1) Date of the Annual Meeting of Shareholders(“the Meeting”)

June 25, 2013

(2) Contents of Matters to be Voted upon

Proposal No.1: Approval of the appropriation of surplus for the 88th term (from April 1, 2012 to March 31, 2013)

Proposal No.2: Election of twelve (12) Directors

To elect the following persons as Directors:

Shoji Muneoka, Hiroshi Tomono, Syuichiro Kozuka, Kosei Shindo, Masakazu Iwaki, Shinya Higuchi, Katsuhiko Ota, Akihiro Miyasaka, Kinya Yanagawa, Soichiro Sakuma, Yasumitsu Saeki and Shinji Morinobu

Proposal No.3: Election of one (1) Audit & Supervisory Board Member

To elect the following person as Audit & Supervisory Board Member:

Katsunori Nagayasu

(3) The number of voting rights concerning the indication of “for”, “against” or “abstain” as to matters to be voted upon, requirements for the approval and results.

Matters to be voted upon	For	Against	Abstention	Results (Ratio of affirmative votes)
Proposal No.1:	6,551,039	22,686	3,226	Approved (97.22%)
Proposal No.2				
Shoji Muneoka	5,246,116	1,300,214	12,888	Approved (78.12%)
Hiroshi Tomono	5,358,156	1,206,171	12,888	Approved (79.51%)
Syuichiro Kozuka	6,324,929	239,404	12,888	Approved (93.86%)
Kosei Shindo	6,045,143	519,183	12,888	Approved (89.71%)

Masakazu Iwaki	6,325,216	239,117	12,888	Approved (93.87%)
Shinya Higuchi	6,325,451	238,882	12,888	Approved (93.87%)
Katsuhiko Ota	6,325,330	239,003	12,888	Approved (93.87%)
Akihiro Miyasaka	6,382,660	181,677	12,888	Approved (94.72%)
Kinya Yanagawa	6,303,798	260,538	12,888	Approved (93.55%)
Soichiro Sakuma	6,303,617	260,719	12,888	Approved (93.55%)
Yasumitsu Saeki	6,303,649	260,687	12,888	Approved (93.55%)
Shinji Morinobu	6,356,120	208,217	12,888	Approved (94.33%)
Proposal No.3				
Katsunori Nagayasu	5,227,547	1,346,344	3,374	Approved (77.58%)

Note 1 Requirements for the approval

The approval of the proposal No.1 requires a majority of the voting rights held by the shareholders present (including the votes exercised prior to the Meeting) voting “for” the proposal.

The approval of the proposal No.2 & No.3 requires (i) attendance of shareholders holding in aggregate one-third (1/3) or more of the total number of voting rights and (ii) a majority of the voting rights held by the shareholders present voting “for” the proposal.

Note 2 The ratio of affirmative votes was calculated by aggregating the number of voting rights exercised by mail or via internet prior to the Meeting and the number of voting rights held by all shareholders present at the Meeting as the denominator. In addition the number of the voting rights concerning the indication of “for”, “against” or “abstain” as to matters to be voted upon is different by proposals due to the different number of the invalid ballot etc.

(4) Reason for not adding a portion of the voting rights held by the shareholders present at the Meeting to the number of voting rights concerning the above (3)

The requirements for the approval of the proposals proved to be met as a result of aggregating the number of (i) voting rights concerning the indication of “for”, “against” or “abstain” exercised prior to the Meeting and (ii) the voting rights of a portion of shareholders who attended the meeting and whose indication of “for”, “against” or “abstain” were confirmed. Therefore, the results of the exercise of voting rights by shareholders who attended the Meeting but whose indication of “for”, “against” or “abstain” cannot be confirmed are not added to the number of voting rights concerning the indication of “for”, “against” or “abstain” concerning the above (3).